

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION**

<b>CHRISTY ROCHE,</b>	)	
	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>CIVIL NO. 3:11CV648-RJC-DSC</b>
	)	
<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant and</b>	)	
<b>Third-Party Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>MARY FRANCES FORRESTER and</b>	)	
<b>MARY FRANCES FORRESTER,</b>	)	
<b>Executrix of the Estate</b>	)	
<b>of James S. Forrester,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Third-Party Defendants.</b>	)	

**CONSENT PRIVACY ACT ORDER**

Plaintiff and Third Party Defendant have requested productions of records held by the United States Government. Counsel for Defendant has objected to the production of certain documents based on further concerns that the production is prohibited by the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

Defendant United States has filed a Motion for a Privacy Act Order which is consented to by the parties. It appears that the disclosure requested is in the interest of justice and subject to Protective Order entered simultaneously herewith.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that

1. To the extent that Defendant objects to the production of documents on the ground that such production is prohibited by the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. §


552a, the objection is overruled and Defendant shall produce the requested documents and other information and material. The Court is authorized to enter the Order pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 551a(b)(11).

2. This Order is without prejudice to any other objections that the Defendant may have to the discovery requests.

3. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to limit the redaction of documents to be produced when counsel for Defendant deems such redaction necessary for Privacy Act material unrelated to this lawsuit.

**SO ORDERED.**

Signed: November 6, 2012

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D S Cayer", is written over a horizontal line.

David S. Cayer  
United States Magistrate Judge

